# ELA/Literacy Grade-Level Instructional Materials Evaluation Tool

Quality Review GRADE 5

# WCSD 2018





#### COMPONENT 1: TEXT COMPLEXITY

The guiding statements provided in this section will examine text complexity in order to differentiate quality and richness among the texts WCSD is considering for adoption. To address the grade five standards, the submitted materials need to create the conditions for rich and robust discussion and writing for ALL students (struggling readers, students with identified academic disabilities English Learners, students who are performing at grade level, and advanced students).

GUIDING STATEMENTS	SPECIFIC EVIDENCE FROM THE TEXT/MATERIALS	EVIDENCE RATING
Literature and Informational Text  C1a. The texts present rich and embedded relationships between and among characters, ideas, and concepts that are conveyed through masterful style and structure. (See exemplars in CCSS, Appendix B.)		4) extensive 3) sufficient 2) some 1) weak
C1b. The materials consistently include short, challenging, and complete texts that contain rich content, ideas, and academic language worthy of close reading. (See exemplars in CCSS, Appendix B.)		☐ 4) extensive ☐ 3) sufficient ☐ 2) some ☐ 1 ) weak

C1c. The materials consistently provide opportunities to read both literary and informational texts at the high end of the grades three through five text complexity band.		☐ 4) extensive ☐ 3) sufficient ☐ 2) some ☐ 1 ) weak
C1d. Materials provide strategies for grade-level vocabulary acquisition.		☐ 4) extensive ☐ 3) sufficient ☐ 2) some ☐ 1) weak
OVERALL RATING: ☐ 4) extensive evidence ☐ 3) suffici	entevidence □ 2) some evidence □ 1) weak evidence	
SUMMARY STATEMENT (Explain why the material	als received this overall rating):	

#### COMPONENT 2: QUESTIONS AND TASKS

At least 80% of all questions in the submission are high-quality text-dependent and text-specific questions. The overwhelming majority of these questions reference specific text and draw student attention to the text they are reading. Text-dependent questions that address the grade five standards will be described in greater depth in Alignment Criterion II.

#### ALIGNMENT CRITERION I: RANGE AND QUALITY OF TEXTS

Materials must reflect a wide range of text types and genres, as required by the standards. In grade five, and across all other grade levels, there should be ample texts on topics that can support sustained study. Knowledge built at one grade level should be expanded in other grade levels. Topics should take into account individual student academic needs and interests in order to foster independent reading. It is also imperative that the included topics and themes are compelling enough to read multiple times and are aligned to district needs. Pay particular attention to the guidance provided in Appendix B of the Common Core State Standards.

GUIDING STATEMENTS	SPECIFIC EVIDENCE FROM THE TEXT/MATERIALS	EVIDENCE RATING
Literature and Informational Text  1a. The range of materials, both print and digital, allows teachers and students to explore content that coherently and systematically builds knowledge and grade-level vocabulary across subjects, themes, and topics. (See CCSS Appendix B for examples of grade-level knowledge demands.) Textsets also address a wide variety of student interests, and are likely to foster independent reading.		☐ 4) extensive ☐ 3) sufficient ☐ 2) some ☐ 1 ) weak
1b. Text sets include a diverse range of high-quality, culturally-responsive, and appropriate topics and themes. Texts from diverse cultures reflect the same high-quality features that are demanded of all texts.		☐ 4) extensive ☐ 3) sufficient ☐ 2) some ☐ 1) weak

GUIDING STATEMENTS	SPECIFIC EVIDENCE FROM THE TEXT/MATERIALS	EVIDENCE RATING
<ul> <li>1c. Materials include a rich and diverse sampling of literary texts including, but not limited to:</li> <li>Drama and poetry</li> <li>Stories from various genres that invite exploration of the treatment of themes and topics</li> </ul>		☐ 4) extensive ☐ 3) sufficient ☐ 2) some ☐ 1 ) weak
<ul> <li>1d. The range of informational texts include selections that:</li> <li>Present historical events</li> <li>Present multiple accounts of the same event or topic</li> <li>Provide technical information</li> <li>Present scientific ideas or concepts</li> <li>Contain relevant visual representations of information</li> </ul>		☐ 4) extensive ☐ 3) sufficient ☐ 2) some ☐ 1) weak
1e. Student reading materials contain a range of increasingly challenging selections that allow teachers to build students' ability to comprehend complex text and expand vocabulary throughout the school year.		☐ 4) extensive ☐ 3) sufficient ☐ 2) some ☐ 1) weak
OVERALL RATING: 4) extensive evidence 3) suffic	ientevidence □ 2) some evidence □ 1) weak evidence	
SUMMARY STATEMENT (Explain why the material	als received this overall rating):	

#### ALIGNMENT CRITERION II. QUESTIONS AND TASKS SUPPORT STUDENT LEARNING

Questions posed to students in the materials under review should support student learning in building reading comprehension, in finding and producing the textual evidence to support responses, and in developing grade-level academic language and domain-specific words and phrases. Texts for grade five students must include text-dependent questions that require the use of higher order thinking skills. There should be a range of questions that require students to attend to the author's language as his/her vehicle for conveying meaning, as well as to support specific inferences with explicit details from the text. Most questions should require that the student refer to the text in several places in order to devise an answer—rather than asking only literal, "right there" types of questions—and should also require the student to begin comparing texts, authors, and opinions (CCSS).

GUIDING STATEMENTS	SPECIFIC EVIDENCE FROM THE TEXT/MATERIALS	EVIDENCE RATING
Literature and Informational Text  2a. Key Ideas and Details. Questions and tasks require students to explicitly attend to the text, including, but not limited to:  • Quoting from a text when explaining what the text says explicitly and making inferences  • Summarizing text  • Determining the theme of a story, drama, or poem from details in the text  • Determining two or more main ideas of a text and how they are supported by key details  • Comparing and contrasting two or more characters, settings, or events in a story or drama  • Explaining the relationships or interactions between two or more individuals, events, ideas, or concepts covered in informational texts		☐ 4) extensive ☐ 3) sufficient ☐ 2) some ☐ 1) weak

GUIDING STATEMENTS	SPECIFIC EVIDENCE FROM THE TEXT/MATERIALS	EVIDENCE RATING
<ul> <li>2b. Craft and Structure. Questions and tasks require students to explicitly attend to the text, including, but not limited to:</li> <li>Determining the meaning of words and phrases as used in the text, including general academic and domain-specific words as well as figurative language</li> <li>Explaining how a series of chapters, scenes, or stanzas fit together to form the overall structure of a story, drama, or poem</li> <li>Comparing and contrasting the overall structure of two or more informational texts</li> <li>Analyzing multiple accounts of the same event or topic, noting similarities and differences in point of view and describing how point of view influences how events are described</li> </ul>		☐ 4) extensive ☐ 3) sufficient ☐ 2) some ☐ 1 ) weak
<ul> <li>2c. Integration of Knowledge and Ideas. Questions and tasks require students to explicitly attend to the text, including, but not limited to:</li> <li>Drawing information from multiple print or digital sources</li> <li>Analyzing how visual and multimedia elements contribute to the meaning, tone, or beauty of a text</li> <li>Comparing and contrasting the treatment of similar themes, topics, and patterns of events</li> <li>Comparing and contrasting stories in the same genre on their approaches to similar themes and topics</li> <li>Explaining how an author uses reasons and evidence to support points</li> <li>Integrating information from several texts on the same topic</li> </ul>		☐ 4) extensive ☐ 3) sufficient ☐ 2) some ☐ 1) weak
OVERALL RATING:   4) extensive evidence   3) sufficient	ientevidence □ 2) some evidence □ 1) weak evidence	
SUMMARY STATEMENT (Explain why the material	als received this overall rating):	

#### ALIGNMENT CRITERION III. WRITING TO SOURCES AND RESEARCH

The writing standards for each grade level highlight distinctive expectations about student writing. For details on grade-level writing expectations and writing exemplars for grade five, see the Common Core State Standards for English Language Arts and Literacy. The metrics below show key characteristics to look for in your review of materials.

GUIDING STATEMENTS	SPECIFIC EVIDENCE FROM THE TEXT/MATERIALS	EVIDENCE RATING
3a. Writing assignments are explicitly connected to what students are reading, and routinely include writing over shorter time frames (a single sitting or a day or two) and extended time frames (for research, reflection, and revision) for a range of discipline-specific tasks, purposes, and audiences.		☐ 4) extensive ☐ 3) sufficient ☐ 2) some ☐ 1 ) weak
<ul> <li>3b. Text-dependent questions generally create the foundation for students to address culminating writing tasks, including:</li> <li>Writing opinion pieces on topics or texts, supporting a point of view with reasons and information</li> <li>Writing informative/explanatory texts to examine a topic and convey ideas and information clearly</li> <li>Writing narratives to develop real or imagined experiences or events using effective technique, descriptive details, and clear sequences of events</li> </ul>		☐ 4) extensive ☐ 3) sufficient ☐ 2) some ☐ 1 ) weak
3c. Reading materials can serve as models to explore writer's craft, demonstrate use of domain-specific words and phrases, and support student production of grade-level opinion, informational, and narrative writing.		☐ 4) extensive ☐ 3) sufficient ☐ 2) some ☐ 1 ) weak

GUIDING STATEMENTS	SPECIFIC EVIDENCE FROM THE TEXT/MATERIALS	EVIDENCE RATING
<ul> <li>3d. Materials include explicit support to teachers, either in the teacher's edition or classroom materials, for writing instruction linked to the grade five writing standards, including:</li> <li>Producing clear and coherent writing in which the development and organization are appropriate to the task, purpose, and audience</li> <li>Developing and strengthening writing by planning, revising, editing, rewriting, or trying a new approach</li> <li>Using technology to produce and publish writing, as well as to interact and collaborate with others, demonstrating sufficient keyboarding skills</li> <li>Materials should also guide the teaching of specific components of grade five writing standards 1-3 (See CCSS).</li> </ul>		☐ 4) extensive ☐ 3) sufficient ☐ 2) some ☐ 1) weak
<ul> <li>3e. Materials provide opportunities and resources for students to conduct short research projects that build knowledge about a topic, including:</li> <li>Using several sources to investigate different aspects of a topic</li> <li>Recalling relevant information from experiences or gathering information from print and digital sources</li> <li>Summarizing or paraphrasing information in notes and finished work</li> <li>Providing a list of sources</li> <li>Drawing evidence from literary or informational texts to support analysis, reflection, or research</li> </ul>		☐ 4) extensive ☐ 3) sufficient ☐ 2) some ☐ 1) weak
OVERALL RATING: 4) extensive evidence 3) suffici	ientevidence □ 2) some evidence □ 1) weak evidence	
SUMMARY STATEMENT (Explain why the materia	als received this overall rating):	

### ALIGNMENT CRITERION IV: FOUNDATIONAL SKILLS

Materials provide guidance and support for explicit and systematic instruction and diagnostic support in grade-level phonics, vocabulary development, syntax, and reading fluency. Students must be able to recognize words fluently in order to focus on the major goal of reading, which is comprehension. Effective foundational skills instruction in grade five includes an explicit and systematic focus on phonics and practice in applying phonics for students who are struggling. Building word analysis skills and fluency must also be contextualized within the materials (CCSS).

GUIDING STATEMENTS	SPECIFIC EVIDENCE FROM THE TEXT/MATERIALS	EVIDENCE RATING
4a. Materials provide teachers with guidance and support for explicit and systematic instruction of the grade five <i>Reading Standards for Foundational Skills</i> , including instruction in phonics, wordanalysis, and reading with fluency to support comprehension. (Refer to CCSS, Appendix A for the research detailing the advancement of foundational skills in reading.)		☐ 4) extensive ☐ 3) sufficient ☐ 2) some ☐ 1 ) weak
<ul> <li>4b. Materials include a variety of opportunities for students to develop and apply word analysis and decoding skills, including:</li> <li>Understanding grade-level vocabulary using an integrated approach (including affixes, multi-syllable words, etc.)</li> <li>Understanding multisyllabic words in context and out of context</li> <li>Self-correcting using word recognition skills and context clues, rereading text purposefully as needed</li> </ul>		☐ 4) extensive ☐ 3) sufficient ☐ 2) some ☐ 1 ) weak

GUIDING STATEMENTS	SPECIFIC EVIDENCE FROM THE TEXT/MATERIALS	EVIDENCE RATING
4c. Materials provide regular practice in reading grade-level prose and poetry with accuracy, at an appropriate rate, and with appropriate expression.		☐ 4) extensive ☐ 3) sufficient ☐ 2) some ☐ 1) weak
4d. Materials guide students in reading grade-level texts with purpose and understanding, making frequent connections between the acquisition of foundational skills and access to the meaning of texts (including a set of text-dependent or text-specific questions to check for understanding).		☐ 4) extensive ☐ 3) sufficient ☐ 2) some ☐ 1) weak
OVERALL RATING: 4) extensive evidence 3) suffic	ientevidence □ 2) some evidence □ 1) weak evidence	
SUMMARY STATEMENT (Explain why the material	als received this overall rating):	

## ALIGNMENT CRITERION V: LANGUAGE

The Common Core State Standards for language focus on ensuring that students gain adequate mastery of a range of language skills and applications. Students are expected to meet each year's grade-specific standards and retain or further develop skills, knowledge, and vocabulary gained in preceding grades (CCSS).

GUIDING STATEMENTS	SPECIFIC EVIDENCE FROM THE TEXT/MATERIALS	EVIDENCE RATING
Conventions of Standard English  5a. There is evidence that grade-level grammar and conventions are addressed using an integrated and contextualized approach in daily instruction. Materials and tasks in grade five are designed to help build student understanding and use of:  • Conjunctions (including correlative conjunctions), prepositions, and interjections, including knowing and explaining their function in a sentence  • Correct verb tense, including perfect tenses, to convey various times, sequences, states, and conditions  • Correct spelling of grade-level words  • Commas to separate items in a series, separate an introductory phrase from the rest of a sentence, to indicate direct address, or to set off tag words or questions  • Underlining, quotation marks, or italics to indicate titles		☐ 4) extensive ☐ 3) sufficient ☐ 2) some ☐ 1) weak
<ul> <li>Knowledge of Language</li> <li>5b. The materials support instruction on:</li> <li>Expanding, combining, and reducing sentences</li> <li>Comparing and contrasting the varieties of English dialects and registers used in stories, dramas, or poems</li> </ul>		☐ 4) extensive ☐ 3) sufficient ☐ 2) some ☐ 1 ) weak

GUIDING STATEMENTS	SPECIFIC EVIDENCE FROM THE TEXT/MATERIALS	EVIDENCE RATING
<ul> <li>Vocabulary Acquisition and Use</li> <li>5c. The materials provide context, support, and strategies for teaching vocabulary acquisition skills, including:</li> <li>Using context clues to unlock the meaning of words</li> <li>Using Greek or Latin affixes and roots as clues to the meaning of words</li> <li>Consulting reference materials to determine pronunciation or the meaning of words</li> </ul>		☐ 4) extensive ☐ 3) sufficient ☐ 2) some ☐ 1 ) weak
5d. The materials provide embedded opportunities for students to encounter and develop an understanding of figurative language, word relationships, and nuances in word meanings.		☐ 4) extensive ☐ 3) sufficient ☐ 2) some ☐ 1 ) weak
OVERALL RATING: 4) extensive evidence 3) suffici	entevidence □ 2) some evidence □ 1) weak evidence	
SUMMARY STATEMENT (Explain why the material	als received this overall rating):	

#### ALIGNMENT CRITERION VI: SPEAKING AND LISTENING

To be CCSS-aligned, speaking and listening must be integrated into lessons, items, and tasks. These must reflect a progression of communication skills required for eventual college- and career-readiness. If grade five students are able to listen to others, discuss what they are learning, and voice their own confusion or misunderstandings, their learning becomes deeper and more meaningful. They are exposed, at this level, to points of view that may differ from their own, and they learn how to agree and disagree, express their own thoughts, and ask questions when they don't understand or need more clarification (CCSS).

GUIDING STATEMENTS	SPECIFIC EVIDENCE FROM THE TEXT/MATERIALS	EVIDENCE RATING
<ul> <li>6a. Comprehension and Collaboration. Materials provide a language framework for student participation in academic conversations, including the ability to:</li> <li>Come to a discussion prepared</li> <li>Follow agreed upon rules/roles</li> <li>Pose and respond to specific questions to clarify or follow up on information</li> <li>Review key ideas and explain their own ideas</li> <li>Differentiate between contexts for formal English and situations where informal discourse is appropriate</li> <li>Use accurate, grade-appropriate general academic and domain-specific words and phrases</li> </ul>		☐ 4) extensive ☐ 3) sufficient ☐ 2) some ☐ 1) weak

GUIDING STATEMENTS	SPECIFIC EVIDENCE FROM THE TEXT/MATERIALS	EVIDENCE RATING
<ul> <li>6b. Presentation of Knowledge and Ideas. Materials provide a language framework for student planning of effective presentations, focusing on:</li> <li>How to report on a topic or text using evidence to present findings from research</li> <li>How to present an opinion</li> <li>How to sequence ideas logically</li> <li>How to use appropriate facts and relevant details to support ideas</li> <li>How to use descriptive details to support main ideas or themes</li> <li>How to use multimedia components</li> <li>How to adapt speech to a variety of audiences</li> </ul>		☐ 4) extensive ☐ 3) sufficient ☐ 2) some ☐ 1) weak
OVERALL RATING: ☐ 4) extensive evidence ☐ 3) sufficient evidence ☐ 2) some evidence ☐ 1) weak evidence		
SUMMARY STATEMENT (Explain why the materials received this overall rating):		

#### ALIGNMENT CRITERION VII: SCAFFOLDING AND SUPPORTS

While scaffolds are not a part of the standards themselves, it is important to support teachers in meeting the needs of the range of students in their classrooms. In order to meet the reading, speaking, and writing needs of **all** grade five students, the materials must include supports for students to comprehend text at the high end of the grades three through five text complexity band. Supports and scaffolds should draw students back to the text and provide strategies for vocabulary acquisition. All scaffolding and support requires ongoing formal and informal assessments. These assessments should provide multiple opportunities for students to demonstrate their proficiency and inform instruction.

It is important to note that scaffolding is not just intended for struggling students, but also for students who are ready for above grade-level work. As text complexity increases and tasks get increasingly challenging, the need for appropriate scaffolds for above grade-level access is equally important (CCSS).

GUIDING STATEMENTS	SPECIFIC EVIDENCE FROM THE TEXT/MATERIALS	EVIDENCE RATING
<ul> <li>7a. The texts promote differentiated instruction and instructional conversations about text to support student learning of:</li> <li>Academic language</li> <li>Linguistic frames for student talk and writing</li> <li>Repeated grammatical structures and language</li> </ul>		☐ 4) extensive ☐ 3) sufficient ☐ 2) some ☐ 1 ) weak
<ul> <li>7b. The materials include student supports such as:</li> <li>Multiple digital and media versions of texts</li> <li>Illustrations</li> <li>Graphs and charts</li> <li>Maps and photographs</li> <li>Visual cues/notes that drawattention to words in the text that signal sequence or offer clues to meaning (i.e., where, when, and how key events occur)</li> </ul>		☐ 4) extensive ☐ 3) sufficient ☐ 2) some ☐ 1 ) weak

GUIDING STATEMENTS	SPECIFIC EVIDENCE FROM THE TEXT/MATERIALS	EVIDENCE RATING
<ul> <li>7c. The materials are designed to support teacher instruction by use of:</li> <li>Explicit instructional directions accompanied by materials that are clearly aligned to stated goals and objectives that buld student ability to read and comprehend grade-level text</li> <li>Strategies to gradually increase difficulty as students' comprehension skills strengthen</li> <li>Strategies to support student acquisition of knowledge supporting specific common core standards</li> <li>Clear and detailed teacher directions and guidance for introducing new concepts and skills</li> <li>Clear guidance for documenting student progress toward meeting grade-level standards</li> </ul>		☐ 4) extensive ☐ 3) sufficient ☐ 2) some ☐ 1 ) weak
7d. The materials provide support for student learning through varying modalities (i.e., there are provisions for print, digital, and other multimedia sources for information attainment).		☐ 4) extensive ☐ 3) sufficient ☐ 2) some ☐ 1 ) weak

GUIDING STATEMENTS	SPECIFIC EVIDENCE FROM THE TEXT/MATERIALS	EVIDENCE RATING
<ul> <li>7e. The materials include assessments along with:</li> <li>Suggestions for next steps to address a spectrum of performance levels and needs based on assessment results</li> <li>Opportunities for students to demonstrate their expertise through the use of performance tasks</li> <li>Pieces of challenging and complete text that can be used to assess student understanding and next instructional steps</li> <li>Reading selections and questions that progress in a logical sequence for gradual release<sup>1</sup></li> <li>Enrichment tasks for students who are on target for meeting grade-level expectations</li> <li>Steps to take when evidence suggests that students are starting to fall behind</li> </ul>		☐ 4) extensive ☐ 3) sufficient ☐ 2) some ☐ 1 ) weak
OVERALL RATING: ☐ 4) extensive evidence ☐ 3) sufficient evidence ☐ 2) some evidence ☐ 1) weak evidence		
SUMMARY STATEMENT (Explain why the material	als received this overall rating):	

<sup>1</sup> Gradual release: scaffolding of instruction so that students develop the ability to read and complete tasks and assignments independently and proficiently.

# **DECISION RECORDING SHEET**

Completed by:	Date:	
	ollected and the analysis you have done as you reviewed these materials, complete t what influenced your decision in each of the areas listed below.	he following
RUBRIC SECTION	QUALITATIVE SUMMARY OF EVIDENCE	RATING
Component 1: Text Complexity		☐ 4) extensive ☐ 3) sufficient ☐ 2) some ☐ 1) weak
Component 2: Questions and Tasks		☐ meets ☐ does not mee
Alignment Criterion I: Range and Quality of Texts		☐ 4) extensive ☐ 3) sufficient ☐ 2) some ☐ 1) weak
Alignment Criterion II: Questions and Tasks Support Student Learning		☐ 4) extensive ☐ 3) sufficient ☐ 2) some ☐ 1) weak
Alignment Criterion III: Writing to Sources and Research		☐ 4) extensive ☐ 3) sufficient ☐ 2) some ☐ 1) weak

RUBRIC SECTION	QUALITATIVE SUMMARY OF EVIDENCE	RATING
Alignment Criterion IV: Foundational Skills		☐ 4) extensive ☐ 3) sufficient ☐ 2) some ☐ 1) weak
Alignment Criterion V: Language		☐ 4) extensive☐ 3) sufficient☐ 2) some☐ 1) weak
Alignment Criterion VI: Speaking and Listening		☐ 4) extensive ☐ 3) sufficient ☐ 2) some ☐ 1) weak
Alignment Criterion VII: Scaffolding and Supports		☐ 4) extensive ☐ 3) sufficient ☐ 2) some ☐ 1) weak
OVERALL RATING: ☐ 4) extensive evidence ☐ 3) sufficient evidence ☐ 2) some evidence ☐ 1) weak evidence		
GENERAL COMMENTS:		

# ADOPTION COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION FORM

Based on the substantial evidence collected, please rank all the grade five materials you reviewed in the order in which you would recommend them for adoption. The program or materials with your highest recommendation should be listed as number one below. Please provide any comments you deem pertinent. Include answers to the following questions based on the evidence cited in your materials review:

- What are the top three strengths of this text?
- What areas needimprovement?
- What additional supports would be needed to implement the textbook series or digital materials?

RECOMMENDED		
PR	OGRAM NAME/EDITION:	COMMENTS:
1		
2		
3		

continued >

NOT RECOMMENDED		
PROGRAM NAME/EDITION:	COMMENTS:	
1		
2		
3		
Completedby: Date:		